

Only 10% US see Iran as Immediate Threat

[Post Reply](#) [Post Reply](#) [Page](#)

1

123>

[Author](#) [Message](#) [Topic Rating](#) [Rate Topic](#) [Topic Search](#) [Topic Search](#) [Topic Options](#)
[Topic Options](#)

[Sawtul Khilafah](#) [View Drop Down](#)

Senior Member

Senior Member

Avatar

Joined: 20 July 2006

Location: Peru

Status: Offline

Points: 623 [Post Options](#) [Post Options](#)

Thanks (0) Thanks(0) [Quote](#) [Sawtul Khilafah](#) [Quote](#) [Post Reply](#)[Reply](#)[Direct Link](#) To This Post Topic: Only 10% US see Iran as Immediate Threat

Posted: 22 March 2007 at 3:49pm

Only 10% in U.S. See Iran as Immediate Threat

<http://www.angus-reid.com/polls/index.cfm/fuseaction/viewItem/itemID/15093>

As you can see most Americans are against invading Iran, and the same certainly goes for the great majority of Europeans.

But as I had already explained on another thread almost 2 months ago, this was what the US government really wanted.

In other words, the US Government and its allies deliberately turned their own people against invading Iran while pretending to support it themselves !!

This is what I wrote : [http://www.islamicity.com/forum/forum_posts.asp?
TID=8411& ;PN=1&TPN=1](http://www.islamicity.com/forum/forum_posts.asp?TID=8411&PN=1&TPN=1)

Originally posted by Sawtul Khilafah Sawtul Khilafah wrote:

we see that the mainstream Media (which is controled by the same people who control the Governments) spoke against the war and so many controled oppositions and fake leftists were against this war...

The war on Afghanistan is silenced down by the media and the fake left who lead and set up the main protests pretty much ignore Afghanistan, concentrating almost entirely on Iraq instead.

We also saw how the Abu Ghurayb torture pictures came out and were spread in all the mainstream newspapers and the TV. These are the same newspapers and TV channels who censor 99% of the truth about world events and who cover up much of the crimes of the western Governments. But for some reason everyone suddenly seemed to turn against the Iraq war, including the fat cats and the members of secret societies and even the mainstream media.

We also saw how the US Government accused Iraq of having WMDs, but when they couldnt find any, they actually said so !

This is the same Government who covered up what really happened on 9/11. After the WTC attacks, the US Government immediately blamed the attacks on Al-Qaeda, and after Osama bin Laden denied responsiblity for the attacks the Government made numerous fake videos and audio tapes and all sorts of fake evidence to try and convince people that bin Laden was responsible for the attacks.

But again, when it came to Iraq, things were different. The US politicians just came out and admitted that they have found no WMDs, thus deliberately making the war unpopular.

Bush also accused Saddam of involvement in 9/11, but strange he later denied it. But

why did he deny it ? If he had lied in the first place, why didnt he continue lying? If he had no evidence in the first place, why didnt he continue lying without any evidence ? Or could it be that he deliberately wants to be seen as a liar, thus making the Iraq war and the US right wing more unpopular.

The truth is that the US knew from day one that Iraq had no WMDs. Even Collin Powell admitted this when he first came to office. And the real question is, why was the US worried about Iraq's alleged Chemical and Biological bombs, when Iran was allegedly making NUCLEAR bombs ???

Surely Nuclear weapons should be a bigger concern... but strangely the US and the western media only turned their eyes towards Iran AFTER it was made clear to the whole world that Iraq did not have WMDs.

In other words, now that the US accuses Iran of making WMDs no one believes them because they had already lied about Iraq.

But the real question is Why didnt they invade Iran before Iraq ? This is while Iran is SUPPOSEDLY USA's biggest enemy in the middle east, and while Saddam was USA's former ally.

Iran was also a neighbour of Afghanistan, making it easier for the US to move its forces from Iran to Afghanistan and from Afghanistan to Iran.

Now the media is trying to decieve us into thinking that the US wanted to invade Iran After Iraq... some going as far as claiming that the US wanted to "surround" Iran from the east and the west. This is rediculous though because it would have been much easier for the US to invade Iran in the first place, or at least right after Afghanistan.

No, the truth is something else. The US didnt invade Iran because they dont even want to invade Iran

Now the US Government is trying to make it seem like they want to invade Iran, but they just cant because so many people are against it... so as people protest against invading Iran, Bush and his administration just pretend like the good old "democracy"

has tied their hands and the PEOPLE have prevailed.

[Back to Top](#)

Sponsored Links

[Back to Top](#)

.:: SoHalB ::. View Drop Down

Groupie

Groupie

Avatar

Joined: 24 March 2007

Status: Offline

Points: 96 Post Options Post Options

Thanks (0) Thanks(0) Quote .:: SoHalB ::. Quote Post ReplyReplyDirect Link To This Post Posted: 26 March 2007 at 7:01am

Thats a good theory man

[Back to Top](#)

nu001 View Drop Down

Senior Member

Senior Member

Avatar

Male

Joined: 02 March 2007

Status: Offline

Points: 252 [Post Options](#) [Post Options](#)

Thanks (0) Thanks(0) [Quote](#) [nu001 Quote](#) [Post Reply](#) [Reply Direct Link To This Post](#)
Posted: 26 March 2007 at 11:33am

Americans also did not think Iraq was a threat, before the attack. Even Bush declared in the state of the union address, days before the attack, that Iraq does not pose an immediate threat. But they went for attack.

Everyone knows, it was oil for which US went to Iraq. Iran has more reasons than just oil. US is not yet attacking Iran coz they are bogged down in Iraq. Don't forget Iraq was under UN sanctions for 10 years before the attack.

Sawat, I am amzed seeing your enthusiasm to convince muslims that Iran is a partner of USA. What's ur problem? Would Iran partner with US for getting economic sanctions?? Crazy.

If Iran is a partner of USA; then it's no news and there is no point of discussion. Because then they only join all the other islamic countries like SA, Egypt, Jordan, Pak etc. All of them are US partners.

Since you are trying hard to prove that Iran is a partner of US; that only indicates that, Iran is different than others and that means an enemy of USA. So a friend of true muslim people.

Edited by nu001

"Al-Quran-The only Straight path to success. Alhamdulillah"

[Back to Top](#)

[Sawtul Khilafah](#) [View Drop Down](#)

Senior Member

Senior Member

Avatar

Joined: 20 July 2006

Location: Peru

Status: Offline

Points: 623 [Post Options](#) [Post Options](#)

Thanks (0) Thanks(0) [Quote](#) [Sawtul Khilafah](#) [Quote](#) [Post Reply](#) [Reply](#) [Direct Link To This Post](#) Posted: 30 March 2007 at 4:49pm

<http://youtube.com/watch?v=gno6iwujlmw>

US Mainstream Media turning American people against war on Iran... including Fox News who are deliberately broadcasting it calling it "nonsense" but in fact what they are really doing is giving it even more publicity.

[Back to Top](#)

[Sawtul Khilafah](#) [View Drop Down](#)

Senior Member

Senior Member

Avatar

Joined: 20 July 2006

Location: Peru

Status: Offline

Points: 623 [Post Options](#) [Post Options](#)

Thanks (0) Thanks(0) [Quote](#) [Sawtul Khilafah](#) [Quote](#) [Post Reply](#) [Reply](#) [Direct Link To This Post](#) Posted: 25 August 2007 at 6:32am

Watch this recent video (late August 2007):

<http://www.crooksandliars.com/Media/Play/20658/1/Colbert-Che> ney-VFWSpeech.wmv/

In it, Thomas Ricks says exactly what I said on this thread and also wrote nearly 7 months ago on this other thread:

http://www.islamicity.com/forum/forum_posts.asp?TID=8411&PN=2&TPN=1

Where I wrote:

Originally posted by Sawtul Khilafah Sawtul Khilafah wrote:

why was the US worried about Iraq's alleged Chemical and Biological bombs, when Iran was allegedly making NUCLEAR bombs ???

Surely Nuclear weapons should be a bigger concern... but strangely the US and the western media only turned their eyes towards Iran AFTER it was made clear to the whole world that Iraq did not have WMDs.

In other words, now that the US accuses Iran of making WMDs no one believes them because they had already lied about Iraq.

[Back to Top](#)

[Israfil View Drop Down](#)

Senior Member

Senior Member

Avatar

Joined: 08 September 2003

Status: Offline

Points: 3984 [Post Options](#) [Post Options](#)

Thanks (0) Thanks(0) Quote Israfil Quote Post ReplyReplyDirect Link To This Post
Posted: 25 August 2007 at 11:23am

U.S cannot invade Iran because troops are spread too thin...simple as that. The rhetoric is mainly to convince Iran to "wise up" probably.

[Back to Top](#)

[Cassandra](#) [View Drop Down](#)

Senior Member

Senior Member

Avatar

Joined: 30 May 2006

Location: Spain

Status: Offline

Points: 293 [Post Options](#) [Post Options](#)

Thanks (0) Thanks(0) Quote Cassandra Quote Post ReplyReplyDirect Link To This Post
Posted: 26 August 2007 at 8:54am

Israfil: U.S cannot invade Iran because troops are spread too thin...simple as that. The rhetoric is mainly to convince Iran to "wise up" probably.

I wonder? Look at the Silk Road. Do we have a potential "new Road": From (Pakistan), Afghanistan....(Iran)...Iraq...(Syria)...(Lebanon)...Israel? Easy passage through the Med.

What would be the purpose of such an overland road? No rounding the continent of Africa...no Suez Canal. And Gibraltar...well, almost in the U.S. pockets isn't it really? I posted on this ages ago, but I still think that it is not Iran that is a threat to the U.S. Looks more to me that the U.S. is a threat to Iran. Look out Syria and Lebanon....?

Looks like a clear run to me. C

(But what do I know??)

[Back to Top](#)

[Sawtul Khilafah View Drop Down](#)

Senior Member

Senior Member

Avatar

Joined: 20 July 2006

Location: Peru

Status: Offline

Points: 623 [Post Options](#) [Post Options](#)

Thanks (0) Thanks(0) [Quote](#) [Sawtul Khilafah](#) [Quote](#) [Post Reply](#)[Reply](#)[Direct Link To This Post](#) Posted: 28 August 2007 at 4:23pm

Israel has plans for nuclear strike on Iran: report

(Reuters)

7 January 2007

LONDON - Israel has drawn up secret plans to destroy Iran's uranium enrichment facilities with tactical nuclear weapons, Britain's Sunday Times newspaper said.

Citing what it said were several Israeli military sources, the paper said two Israeli air force squadrons had been training to blow up an enrichment plant in Natanz using low-yield nuclear bunker busters.

Two other sites, a heavy water plant at Arak and a uranium conversion plant at Isfahan, would be targeted with conventional bombs, the Sunday Times said.

The UN Security Council voted unanimously last month to slap sanctions on Iran to try to stop uranium enrichment that Western powers fear could lead to making bombs. Tehran insists its plans are peaceful and says it will continue enrichment.

Israel has refused to rule out pre-emptive military action against Iran along the lines of its 1981 air strike against an atomic reactor in Iraq, though many analysts believe Iran's nuclear facilities are too much for Israel to take on alone.

The newspaper said the Israeli plan envisaged conventional laser-guided bombs opening tunnels into the targets. Nuclear warheads would then be used fired into the plant at Natanz, exploding deep underground to reduce radioactive fallout.

Israeli pilots have flown to Gibraltar in recent weeks to train for the 2,000 mile (3,200 km) round-trip to the Iranian targets, the Sunday Times said, and three possible routes to Iran have been mapped out including one over Turkey.

However it also quoted sources as saying a nuclear strike would only be used if a conventional attack was ruled out and if the United States declined to intervene. Disclosure of the plans could be intended to put pressure on Tehran to halt enrichment, the paper added.

Washington has said military force remains an option while insisting that its priority is to reach a diplomatic solution.

Iran's President Mahmoud Ahmadinejad has called for Israel to be wiped off the map. Israel, widely believed to have the Middle East's only nuclear arsenal, has said it will not allow Iran to acquire nuclear weapons.

OH NOOOO, they are going to attack!!!! We are all now supposed to be worried and have a big protest against invading Iran and support and sympathise with the Iranian Government and when it doesn't happen, we are supposed to think that it was through the "power of democracy" that we managed to stop this war from happening

I've been telling people for years that it's not going to happen, they are just trying to convince people that Iran and Israel+US are enemies as part of their long term plan which I've explained on other threads (despite the fact that Iran has helped the US invasion of Afghanistan and Iraq more than any other country in the world!!!)

For how long will people continue calling me a "conspiracy theorist" despite the fact that I've proven to be right about this and other connected issues over and over again....